Variational Dropout and the Local Reparameterization Trick

(Kingma et al., 2015)

[Contents]

- 0. Abstract
- 1. Introduction
- 2. Efficient and Practical Bayesian Inference
 - 1. SGVB (Stochastic Gradient Variational Bayes)
 - 2. Variance of the SGVB estimator
 - 3. LRT (Local Reparameterization Trick)
- 3. Variational Dropout
 - 1. Variational Dropout with "INDEPENDENT" weight noise
 - 2. Variational Dropout with "CORRELATED" weight noise

0. Abstract

propose LRT for reducing variance of SGVB

- LRT : Local Reparameterization Trick
- SGVB : Stochastic Gradients for Variational Bayesian inference

LRT

- translates uncertainty about global parameters into local noise (which is independent across mini-batchs)
- can be parallelized
- have variance, which is inversely proportional to the mini-batch size(M)

Explore a connection with dropout

- Gaussian dropout objectives correspond to SGVB with LRT
- propose "Variational Dropout"
 - (= generalization of Gaussian Dropout)

1. Introduction

Gaussian Dropout :

- regular(binary) dropout has Gaussian approximation
- faster convergence
- optimizes a lower bound on the marginal likelihood of the data

In this paper...

"relationship between dropout & Bayesian Inference" can be extended and exploited to greatly improve the efficiency of variational Bayesian Inference!

Previous works

- MCMC
- Variational Inference...

 \Rightarrow those have not been shown to outperform simpler methods such as "drop out"

Proposes...

• trick for improving the efficiency of stochastic gradient-based variational inference with "mini-batches" of data

(by translating uncertainty about global parameters into local noise)

ightarrow has a optimization speed on the same level as "fast dropout" (Wang, 2013)

2. Efficient and Practical Bayesian Inference

Variational Inference

- ELBO : $\mathcal{L}(\phi) = L_{\mathcal{D}}(\phi) D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(\mathbf{w}) || p(\mathbf{w}))$ (where $L_{\mathcal{D}}(\phi) = \sum_{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{D}} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\phi}(\mathbf{w})}[\log p(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})]....$ called "expected log-likelihood")
- have to maximize ELBO

2.1 SGVB (Stochastic Gradient Variational Bayes)

Two key points

- 1) parameterize the random parameters
 - from : $w \sim q_{\phi}(w)$
 - to : $w = f(\epsilon, \phi)$, where $\epsilon \sim p(\epsilon)$
- 2) unbiased differentiable minibatch-based MC estimator (of the expected log likelihood) :

 $L_{\mathcal{D}}(\phi) \simeq L_{\mathcal{D}}^{ ext{SGVB}}(\phi) = rac{N}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \log p\left(\mathbf{y}^i \mid \mathbf{x}^i, \mathbf{w} = f(\epsilon, \phi)
ight)$

- (M : # of data in a mini-batch)
- \circ differentiable w.r.t ϕ
- unbaised

Thus, its gradient is also unbiased! : $abla_{\phi} L_{\mathcal{D}}(\phi) \simeq
abla_{\phi} L_{\mathcal{D}}^{
m SGVB}(\phi)$

2.2 Variance of the SGVB estimator

(theory) stochastic approximation ightarrow asymptotically converge to a local optimum

(practice) depends on "the variance of the gradient"

Assume we draw a mini-batch with replacement & let $L_{\mathcal{D}}^{\text{SGVB}}(\phi) = \frac{N}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \log p\left(\mathbf{y}^{i} \mid \mathbf{x}^{i}, \mathbf{w} = f(\epsilon, \phi)\right) = \frac{N}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} L_{i},$ $\operatorname{Var}\left[L_{\mathcal{D}}^{\text{SGVB}}(\phi)\right] = \frac{N^{2}}{M^{2}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{M} \operatorname{Var}[L_{i}] + 2\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=i+1}^{M} \operatorname{Cov}[L_{i}, L_{j}]\right)$ $= N^{2} \left(\frac{1}{M} \operatorname{Var}[L_{i}] + \frac{M-1}{M} \operatorname{Cov}[L_{i}, L_{j}]\right)$

- term (1) $\frac{1}{M} \operatorname{Var}[L_i]$
 - \circ inversely proportional to the mini-batch size M
- term (2) $rac{M-1}{M} \mathrm{Cov}[L_i, L_j]$
 - $\circ \hspace{0.1 cm} \text{does not decrease with } M$

 $\Rightarrow \mathrm{Var}ig[L^{\mathrm{SGVB}}_{\mathcal{D}}(\phi)ig]$ can be dominated by the covariances for even moderately large M

2.3 LRT (Local Reparameterization Trick)

To solve the problem above...

[1] propose alternative estimator which $Cov[L_i, L_j] = 0$

ightarrow SG scales as 1/M!

[2] Then, for efficiency,

- do not sample ϵ directly
- rather, sample the intermediate values $f(\epsilon)$

by doing so ([1] & [2])

"the global uncertainty in the weights is translated into a form of local uncertainty", that is independent across examples

Example

structure :

- 1000 neurons
- input feature dim : M imes 1000
- weight matrix (W) dim : 1000 imes 1000
- B = AW
- posterior approximation on weights = fully factorized Gaussian

$$egin{aligned} &\circ & q_{\phi}\left(w_{i,j}
ight) = \dot{N}\left(\mu_{i,j},\sigma_{i,j}^2
ight) &, &orall w_{i,j} \in \mathbf{W} \ &\circ & w_{i,j} = \mu_{i,j} + \sigma_{i,j}\epsilon_{i,j} \ &\epsilon_{i,j} \sim N(0,1). \end{aligned}$$

• Then, $\operatorname{Cov}[L_i, L_j] = 0$

property 1) EFFICIENT

Method 1) sample a separate weight matrix ${f W}$

- computationally inefficient (need to sample M million random numbers for just a single layer of NN)
- $\bullet \hspace{0.2cm} q_{\phi} \left(w_{i,j} \right) = N \left(\mu_{i,j}, \sigma_{i,j}^2 \right) \forall w_{i,j} \in \mathbf{W}$

Method 2) sample the random activations B directly

- without sampling ${f W}$ or $\,\epsilon$
- how is it possible? "weights only influence the expected log-likelihood through B "
- more efficient MC estimator!

$$egin{aligned} & m{q}_{\phi} \left(b_{m,j} \mid \mathbf{A}
ight) = N \left(\gamma_{m,j}, \delta_{m,j}
ight) \ & \gamma_{m,j} = \sum_{i=1}^{1000} a_{m,i} \mu_{i,j}, \quad ext{ and } \quad \delta_{m,j} = \sum_{i=1}^{1000} a_{m,i}^2 \sigma_{i,j}^2 \end{aligned}$$

In short...

- rather than sampling the Gaussian weights,
- sample the "activations" from their implied Gaussian dist'n,

using $b_{m,j} = \gamma_{m,j} + \sqrt{\delta_{m,j}} \zeta_{m,j}, \;\;$ with $\zeta_{m,j} \sim N(0,1)$

- ζ is an M imes 1000 matrix
 - \circ "only need to sample M thousand random variables instead of M million"
- "MUCH MORE EFFICIENT"

property 2) LOWER VARIANCE

Method 1) sample a separate weight matrix ${f W}$

• 1000 $\epsilon_{i,j}$ influencing each gradient term

$$rac{\partial L_{\mathcal{D}}^{
m SGVB}}{\partial \sigma_{i,j}^2} = rac{\partial L_{\mathcal{D}}^{
m SGVB}}{\partial b_{m,j}} rac{\epsilon_{i,j} a_{m,i}}{2\sigma_{i,j}}$$

Method 2) sample the random activations B directly (use LRT)

• $\zeta_{m,j}$ is the only r.v. influencing the gradient (via $b_{m,j}$)

$$rac{\partial L_{\mathcal{D}}^{
m SGVB}}{\partial \sigma_{i,j}^2} = rac{\partial L_{\mathcal{D}}^{
m SGVB}}{\partial b_{m,j}} rac{\zeta_{m,j} a_{m,i}^2}{2\sqrt{\delta_{m,j}}}$$

3. Variational Dropout

Dropout : $\mathbf{B} = (\mathbf{A} \circ \xi) \theta$, with $\xi_{i,j} \sim p(\xi_{i,j})$

- by adding noise \rightarrow less likely to overfit
- ex) Gaussian N(1, lpha) with lpha = p/(1-p)

3.1 Variational Dropout with "INDEPENDENT" weight noise

 $\mathbf{B} = (\mathbf{A} \circ \xi) heta$, where noise matrix follows $\xi \sim N(1, lpha)$

(marginal dist'n of $b_{m,j}$ is also Gaussian)

 $q_{\phi}\left(b_{m,j}\mid\mathbf{A}
ight)=N\left(\gamma_{m,j},\delta_{m,j}
ight)$

•
$$\gamma_{m,j} = \sum_{i=1}^{K} a_{m,i} \theta_{i,j}$$

•
$$\delta_{m,j} = \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{m,i}^2 \theta_{i,j}^2$$

3.2 Variational Dropout with "CORRELATED" weight noise

 $\mathbf{B} = (\mathbf{A} \circ \xi) heta$, where noise matrix follows $\xi_{i,j} \sim N(1,lpha)$

$$\iff \mathbf{b}^m = \mathbf{a}^m \mathbf{W}$$

• where $\mathbf{W} = \left(\mathbf{w}_1', \mathbf{w}_2', \dots, \mathbf{w}_K'\right)'$, and $\mathbf{w}_i = s_i \theta_i$, with $q_{\phi}(s_i) = N(1, \alpha)$